



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,836	01/07/2002	Marshall O. Townsend II	GLFP-1-1001	4549

7590 03/14/2003

Lawrence D. Graham, Esq.  
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM PLLC  
816 Second Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98104

EXAMINER

LEGESSE, NINI F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3711

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# **Interview Summary**

**Application No.**

10/041,836

**Applicant(s)**

TOWNSEND, MARSHALL O.

**Examiner**

Nini F. Legesse

**Art Unit**

3711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nini F. Legesse. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Lawrence D. Graham. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



**Paul T. Sewell**  
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative Mr. Graham discussed that the way the examiner has expressed her arguments on the advisory action of 02/28/03, appear to indicate that if the claims explicitly include a swing reference guide that comprises a table of different shot types such as pull, hook, fade, or straight, the claims could be allowable. He also discussed that none of the references cited teach about a link between each one of the plurality of shot selection types and the culb path indicators. Examiner has notified Mr. Graham that the advisory action does not imply nor suggest any allowable subject matter. He was also informed that the claims are very broad and the 103 rejection provided was appropriate. In addition, Applicant may wish to consider the filing of a Notice of Appeal if he remains convinced that the rejections are unreasonable.